UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

□ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number 1-9341

to

iCAD, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

98 Spit Brook Road, Suite 100, Nashua, NH (Address of principal executive offices) 02-0377419 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

> 03062 (Zip Code)

(603) 882-5200 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. YES \boxtimes NO \square .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES \boxtimes NO \square .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated filer \Box

Non-accelerated filer \Box (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) YES 🗆 NO 🖾.

As of the close of business on August 6, 2012 there were 54,038,237 shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.01 par value.

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

iCAD, Inc.

INDEX

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1	Financial Statements (unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30,	
	2011	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6-25
Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26-37
Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	38
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	38
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1	Legal Proceedings	39
Item 1A	Risk Factors	40
Item 2	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	40
Item 6	Exhibits	40
	Signatures	42

2

Page

iCAD, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

(In thousands except for share data)

	<u>June 30,</u> 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,262	\$ 4,576
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$50 in 2012 and \$54 in 2011	3,334	4,003
Inventory, net	1,961	2,040
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	862	490
Total current assets	20,419	11,109
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$3,612 in 2012 and \$3,184 in 2011	1,626	1,884
Other assets	815	595
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$9,886 in 2012 and \$8,840 in 2011	16,021	17,064
Goodwill	21,109	21,109
Total assets	\$ 59,990	\$ 51,761
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,393	\$ 1,125
Accrued and other expenses	3,644	5,594
Interest payable	468	
Warrant liability	613	_
Deferred revenue	5,686	5,765
Total current liabilities	11,804	12,484
Deferred revenue, long-term portion	1,302	1,446
Other long-term liabilities	1,183	1,776
Notes payable	14,417	
Total liabilities	28,706	15,706
Commitments and Contingencies (see Note 5)	· · · · ·	
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value: authorized 1,000,000 shares; none issued.	_	
Common stock, \$.01 par value: authorized 85,000,000 shares; issued 54,900,725 in 2012 and		
54,754,510 in 2011; outstanding 53,971,570 in 2012 and 53,825,355 in 2011	549	547
Additional paid-in capital	164,429	163,995
Accumulated deficit	(132,279)	(127,072)
Treasury stock at cost 929,155 in 2012 and 2011	(1,415)	(1,415)
Total stockholders' equity	31,284	36,055
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 59,990	\$ 51,761

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

iCAD, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) (In thousands except for per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 2011			Six Months En 2012		nded June 30, 2011		
Revenue:						<u> </u>		
Products	\$	3,575	\$	4,494	\$	7,654	\$	9,709
Service and supplies		2,356		2,152		4,620		4,281
Total revenue		5,931		6,646		12,274		13,990
Cost of revenue:								
Products		969		1,140		2,076		2,347
Service and supplies		560		769		1,137		1,541
Amortization of acquired intangibles		233		233		465		466
Total cost of revenue		1,762		2,142	_	3,678		4,354
Gross profit		4,169		4,504		8,596		9,636
Operating expenses:					_			
Engineering and product development		1,975		3,304		4,187		6,080
Marketing and sales		2,488		3,945		5,134		7,672
General and administrative		1,618		3,413		3,236		6,217
Contingent consideration		—		(1,100)		—		(1,100)
Loss on indemnification asset				250				293
Total operating expenses		6,081		9,811		12,557		19,161
Loss from operations		(1,912)		(5,307)		(3,961)		(9,525)
Gain (loss) from change in fair value of warrant		(213)		_		386		_
Interest expense		(831)		(111)		(1,666)		(216)
Interest income		13		7		34		18
Net loss and comprehensive loss	\$	(2,943)	\$	(5,411)	\$	(5,207)	\$	(9,723)
Net loss per share:								
Basic and diluted	\$	(0.05)	\$	(0.10)	\$	(0.10)	\$	(0.18)
Weighted average number of shares used in computing loss per share:								
Basic and diluted		53,968		54,550		53,924		54,459

iCAD, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

June 3. 2.2.1. (in thousands) Cash flow from operating activities: \$ (5,207) \$ (9,723) Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used for operating activities: 466 546 Depreciation 1,046 1,047 G ain from change in fair value of warrant (386) - Loss on disposal of assets 67 64 Loss on idemmification asset - 293 Stock-based compensation expense 448 584 Amorization of discount financing 456 - Interest on settlement obligations 216 215 Fair value of contingent consideration - (1,00) Charges in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition: 43 (6) Accounts receivable 669 (638) 1,109 Prepaid and other current assets 43 (6) 443 Accounts payable 268 (145) - Additions to potenting activities: (223) 774 Total adjustments (275) (191) (191) <		For the six mo	
Cash flow from operating activities: Net loss\$ (5,207)\$ (9,723)Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used for operating activities: Depreciation466546Amortization1,0461,047Gain from change in fair value of warrant(386) — Loss on indemnification asset6764Loss on indemnification asset— — 293Stock-based compensation expense448584Amortization of discount financing456 — — Interest on settlement obligations216215Fair value of contingent consideration— (1,100)(1,600)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition: Accounts receivable669(638) (638) InventoryNet cost payable268(145) (1,229)(148) (223)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments 859 (2,291)(148) (148)Cash flow from investing activities: Additions to property and equipment(3) (275)(191) (191) Net cash used for investing activities(13) (5) (5)Cash flow from financing activities: Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13) (5) (5)(5) (6)Proceeds from debt financing, net Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13) (5) (5)(5) (275)Net cash neg viouses: Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13) (5) (5)(5) (275)Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities(14,312) (1,273)Taxes paid related)
Net loss \$ (5,207) \$ (9,723) Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used for operating activities: 466 546 Amortization 1,046 1,047 Gain from change in fair value of warrant (386) Loss on disposal of assets 67 64 Loss on indemnification asset 293 Stock-based compensation expense 448 584 Amortization of discount financing 456 Interest on settlement obligations 216 215 Fair value of contingent consideration (1,100) Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition: (1,100) Accounts receivable 669 (638) 1,109 Prepaid and other current assets 43 (6) 448 (544) Accounts payable 268 (145) 4268 (145) Accounts payable 268 (145) (7,128) Cash flow from investing activities: (2,291) (148) Cash flow from investing activities: (275) (1		(in thous	ands)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used for operating activities: 466 546 Depreciation 1.046 1.047 Gain from change in fair value of warrant (386) Loss on disposal of assets 67 64 Loss on indemnification asset 293 Stock-based compensation expense 448 584 Amortization of discount financing 456 Interest on settlement obligations 216 215 Fair value of contingent consideration (1,100) Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition: (1,100) Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition: (1,100) Prepaid and other current assets 43 (6) Accounts payable 268 (145) Accounts payable 223 774 Total adjustments 859 2,595 Net cash used for operating activities: (1,275) Additions to property and equipment (275) (191) Cash flow from financing, activities: Taxes		¢ (5.007)	¢ (0.700)
Depreciation466546Amortization1,0461,047Gain from change in fair value of warrant(386)-Loss on disposal of assets6764Loss on indemnification asset-293Stock-based compensation expense448584Amortization of discount financing445-Interest on settlement obligations216215Fair value of contingent consideration-(1,100)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:-(1,000)Accounts receivable669(638)Inventory801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts receivable268(145)Accrued expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments(3)-Additions to path, technology and other(3)-Additions to path, technology and other(3)-Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,28)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash used for investing activities9,686(8,592)Cash flow from debt financing, net14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash flow from debt financing, net45,7616,269Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, beginning		\$ (5,207)	\$ (9,723)
Amorization1.0461.047Gain from change in fair value of warrant(386)Loss on disposal of assets6764Loss on indemnification asset293Stock-based compensation expense448584Amorization of discount financing456Interest on settlement obligations216215Fair value of contingent consideration(1,100)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:(1,100)Orderse in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)669(638)Inventory801,109(223)774Total adjustments258(145)Accounts payable268(145)Accounts payable268(145)Accounts payable268(145)Accounts payable268(145)Deferred revenue(223)774Cash low from investing activitiesCash low for operating activitiesCash low for investing activitiesCash low for financing activitiesTaxes paid related to restricted stock issuancePayment for Xo		166	516
Gain from change in fair value of warrant(386)Loss on disposal of assets6764Loss on nichemification asset293Stock-based compensation expense448584A Montization of discount financing456Interest on settlement obligations216215Fair value of contingent consideration(1,100)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:(1,100)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:(1,100)Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts receivable669O Accounts payable208(145)Accounts receivable(223)774Total adjustments8592.595Net cash used for operating activities(3)Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Cash flow from investing activities(13)(5)Payment for Xoft(1,288)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57615,269Cash and cash equivalents, ed of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:(1,273)Increase in cash and operiod\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Loss on disposal of assets6764Loss on indemnification asset293Stock-based compensation expense448584Amortization of discount financing456Interest on settlement obligations216215Fair value of contingent consideration(1,100)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:(1,100)Accounts receivable669(638)Inventory801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts payable268(145)Accrued expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities:(278)(191)Cash flow from financing, net(13)(5)Payment for Xoft(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $4,576$ 16,269Cash and cash equivalents, ed of period 5 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:Interest paid16,262\$ 7,677Interest paidInsclosure of cash flow information:Inte		-,	1,047
Loss on indemnification asset293Stock-based compensation expense448584Amortization of discount financing456Interest on settlement obligations216215Fair value of contingent consideration(1,100)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:(1,100)Accounts receivable669(638)Inventory801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts payable268(145)Accured expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(275)(191)Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Payment for Xoft(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,322Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $4,576$ 16,269Cash and cash equivalents, ed of period $5,14,502$ \$7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:(1,273)Increase paid\$485\$			<u> </u>
Stock-based compensation expense448584Amortization of discount financing456-Interest on settlement obligations216215Fair value of contingent consideration-(1,100)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:-(1,100)Accounts receivable669(638)Inventory801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts payable268(145)Accrued expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(277)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net(14,322)-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities(14,312)(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $4,576$ (5,269)Cash and cash equivalents, ed or period $$14,202$ \$7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:11Interest paid\$485\$\$-		07	
Amortization of discount financing 456 $-$ Interest on settlement obligations 216 215 Fair value of contingent consideration $ (1,100)$ Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition: $ (1,100)$ Accounts receivable 669 6638 Inventory 80 $1,109$ Prepaid and other current assets 43 (6) Accounts payable 268 (145) Accrued expenses $(2,291)$ (148) Deferred revenue (223) 774 Total adjustments 859 $2,595$ Net cash used for operating activities $(4,348)$ $(7,128)$ Cash flow from investing activities: (278) (191) Net cash used for investing activities (278) (191) Net cash used for investing activities (13) (5) Payment for Xoft $ (1,268)$ Proceeds from debt financing, net $14,325$ $-$ Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities $14,312$ $(1,273)$ Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents $9,686$ $(8,592)$ Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $4,576$ $16,269$ Cash and cash equivalents, do period $$14,262$ $$7,677$ Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: $$142,62$ $$7,677$ Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: $$142,62$ $$7,677$			
Interest on settlement obligations216215Fair value of contingent consideration—(1,100)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:669(638)Accounts receivable669(638)Inventory801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts payable268(145)Accrued expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(3)—Additions to patents, technology and other(3)—Additions to patents, technology and other(3)—Additions to patents, technology and other(13)(5)Payment for Xoft—(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325—Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:\$ 485\$		-	504
Fair value of contingent consideration(1,100)Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:669(638)Accounts receivable609(638)Inventory801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts payable268(145)Accrued expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(3)-Additions to parents, technology and other(3)-Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(13)(5)Payment for Xofi-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, end of period $4,576$ 16,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period $$14,262$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:14,262\$7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:14,262\$7,677			215
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:Accounts receivable669(638)Inventory801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts payable268(145)Accrued expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(3)-Additions to patents, technology and other(3)-Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$ -			-
Accounts receivable669(638)Inventory801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts payable268(145)Accounts payable268(145)Accured expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, end of period $4,576$ 16,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period $$14,262$ $$7,677$ Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:Interest paid $$485$ $$-$			(1,100)
Inventory801,109Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts payable268(145)Accrued expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(3)-Additions to patents, technology and other(3)-Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, end of period $4,576$ 16,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period $\frac{5}{485}$ $\frac{5}{}$		669	(638)
Prepaid and other current assets43(6)Accounts payable268(145)Accrued expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(3)-Additions to patents, technology and other(3)-Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $4,576$ 16,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period $$ 14,262$ \$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid $$ 485$ \$			
Accounts payable268(145)Accrued expenses(2,291)(148)Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(4,348)(7,128)Additions to patents, technology and other(3)-Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $4,576$ 16,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:1\$ 485\$,
Accrued expenses $(2,291)$ (148) Deferred revenue (223) 774 Total adjustments 859 $2,595$ Net cash used for operating activities $(4,348)$ $(7,128)$ Cash flow from investing activities: $(4,348)$ $(7,128)$ Cash flow from investing activities: (275) (191) Net cash used for investing activities (277) (191) Net cash used for investing activities (278) (191) Cash flow from financing activities: (278) (191) Cash flow from financing activities: (278) (191) Cash flow from financing, net (13) (5) Payment for Xoft $ (1,268)$ Proceeds from debt financing, net $14,325$ $-$ Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities $14,312$ $(1,273)$ Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents $9,686$ $(8,592)$ Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $4,576$ $16,269$ Cash and cash equivalents, end of period $$14,262$ $$7,677$ Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid $$485$ $$-$			
Deferred revenue(223)774Total adjustments8592,595Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(3)-Additions to patents, technology and other(3)-Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$ -		(2,291)	
Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(3)-Additions to patents, technology and other(3)-Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:\$ 485\$ -			
Net cash used for operating activities(4,348)(7,128)Cash flow from investing activities:(3)-Additions to patents, technology and other(3)-Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:\$ 485\$ -	Total adjustments	859	2,595
Cash flow from investing activities:(3)Additions to property and equipment(275)Additions to property and equipment(275)Net cash used for investing activities(278)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13)Payment for Xoft-Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,576Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:14,262Interest paid\$ 485\$ 485\$	5		
Additions to patents, technology and other(3)-Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$ -			
Additions to property and equipment(275)(191)Net cash used for investing activities(278)(191)Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$ -		(3)	
Net cash used for investing activities(278)Cash flow from financing activities: Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13)Payment for Xoft-Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,576Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485			(191)
Cash flow from financing activities:(13)(5)Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13)(5)Payment for Xoft-(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$			
Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance(13)(5)Payment for Xoft—(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net $14,325$ —Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities $14,312$ $(1,273)$ Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686 $(8,592)$ Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $4,576$ $16,269$ Cash and cash equivalents, end of period $$14,262$ $$7,677$ Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid $$485$ $$-$	e e	(270)	(1)1)
Payment for Xoft—(1,268)Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325—Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$ —		(13)	(5)
Proceeds from debt financing, net14,325-Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$ -		(15)	
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities14,312(1,273)Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$		14 325	(1,200)
Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents9,686(8,592)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$		/	(1.273)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period4,57616,269Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$			
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period\$ 14,262\$ 7,677Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid\$ 485\$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Interest paid			
Interest paid <u>\$ 485</u> <u>\$ —</u>		<u>\$ 14,262</u>	\$ /,6//
Taxes paid \$ 17 \$ 52	Interest paid		
	Taxes paid	<u>\$</u> 17	<u>\$52</u>

Note 1—Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of iCAD, Inc. and subsidiary ("iCAD" or the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("US GAAP"). In the opinion of management, these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position at June 30, 2012, the results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and cash flows for the six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Although the Company believes that the disclosures in these financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information normally included in the footnotes prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of AMERICA "US GAAP" has been omitted as permitted by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC on March 9, 2012. The results for the three and six month period.

Subsequent Events

We evaluated all subsequent events that occurred after the balance sheet date through the date and time our financial statements were issued.

Retrospective Accounting Adjustments

Quarterly results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 do not agree with the Company's Form 10-Q as filed for those periods, due to retrospective measurement period adjustments related to the Xoft, Inc. ("Xoft") acquisition and, specifically the settlement of litigation with Carl Zeiss, Meditec, Inc and Carl Zeiss Surgical, GmbH. (collectively referred to as "Zeiss"), as described in Note 4. The impact of the retrospective adjustments increased net loss by \$318,000 and \$429,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The adjustment was due to a loss of approximately \$250,000 and \$293,000, related to the indemnification asset, and \$68,000, and \$136,000 related to the accretion of the settlement obligation in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue primarily from the sale of products and from the sale of services and supplies. Revenue is recognized when delivery has occurred, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, fees are fixed or determinable and collectability is probable. For product revenue, delivery has occurred upon shipment provided title and risk of loss has passed to the customer. Services and supplies revenue are considered to be delivered as the services are performed or over the estimated life of the supply agreement.

The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of its digital, film-based CAD and electronic brachytherapy products and services in accordance with ASC Update No.. 2009-13, "*Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*" ("ASU 2009-13") and ASC Update No. 2009-14, "*Certain Arrangements That Contain Software Elements*" (Update No. 2009-14). ("ASU 2009-14"). Revenue from the sale of certain MRI CAD products and services is recognized in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 985-605, ("Software, Revenue Recognition") ("ASC 985-605"). For multiple element arrangements, revenue is allocated to all deliverables based on their relative selling prices. In such circumstances, a hierarchy is used to determine the selling price to be used for allocating revenue to deliverables as follows: (i) vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value ("VSOE"), (ii) third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE"), and (iii) best estimate of the selling price ("BESP"). VSOE generally exists only when the deliverable is sold separately and is the price actually charged for that deliverable. The process for determining a BESP for deliverables without VSOE or TPE considers multiple factors including relative selling prices; competitive prices in the marketplace, and management judgment, however, these may vary depending upon the unique facts and circumstances related to each deliverable.

The Company primarily uses customer purchase orders that are generally subject to the Company's terms and conditions or, in the case of OEM's, are governed by distribution agreements. In accordance with our distribution agreements, the OEM's do not have a right of return, and title and risk of loss passes to the OEM upon shipment The Company generally ships Free On Board shipping point and uses shipping documents and third-party proof of delivery to verify delivery and transfer of title. In addition, the Company assesses whether collection is reasonably assured by considering a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the creditworthiness of the customer, as obtained from third party credit references.

If the terms of the sale include customer acceptance provisions and compliance with those provisions cannot be demonstrated, all revenue is deferred and not recognized until such acceptance occurs. The Company considers all relevant facts and circumstances in determining when to recognize revenue, including contractual obligations to the customer, the customer's post-delivery acceptance provisions, if any, and the installation process.

The Company has determined that iCAD's Digital, MRI and film based sales generally follow the guidance of ASC 605 as the software has been considered essential to the functionality of the product per the guidance of ASU 2009-14. Typically, the responsibility for the installation process lies with its Original Equipment Manufacturer ("OEM") partners, including GE Healthcare, Siemens Medical and others. On occasion, when iCAD is responsible for product installation, the installation element is considered a separate unit of accounting because the delivered product has stand-alone value to the customer. In these instances, the Company allocates the deliverables based on the framework established within ASU 2009-13. Therefore, the installation and training revenue is recognized as the services are performed according to the VSOE of the element. Revenue from the Digital, MRI and film based equipment when there is installation is recognized based on the relative selling price allocation of the BESP. In prior years (prior to ASU 2009-13), the Company recognized the element on the residual method.

Sales of the Company's electronic brachytherapy product typically include a controller, accessories, and service and source agreements. The Company allocates revenue to the deliverables in the arrangement based on the BESP in accordance with ASU 2009-13. Product revenue is generally recognized when the product has been delivered and service and source revenue is typically recognized over the life of the service and source agreement.

The Company defers revenue from the sale of service contracts related to future periods and recognizes revenue on a straightline basis in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 605-20, "*Services*". The Company provides for estimated warranty costs on original product warranties at the time of sale.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue consists of the costs of products purchased for resale, cost relating to service including costs of service contracts to maintain equipment after the warranty period, product installation, training, customer support, certain warranty repair costs, inbound freight and duty, manufacturing, warehousing, material movement, inspection, scrap, rework, depreciation and in-house product warranty repairs.

Comprehensive Loss

The Company implemented ASU 2011-05, "*Comprehensive Income, Presentation of Comprehensive Income*" as of January 1, 2012. As required, comprehensive loss has been reported on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, however as there are no additional elements of comprehensive loss to report, net loss equals comprehensive loss.

Note 2-Net Loss per Common Share

The Company's basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period and, if there are dilutive securities, diluted loss per share is computed by including common stock equivalents which includes shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options, net of shares assumed to have been purchased with the proceeds, using the treasury stock method.

A summary of the Company's calculation of net loss per share is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Montl June	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net loss	<u>\$ (2,943)</u>	<u>\$ (5,411)</u>	<u>\$ (5,207)</u>	<u>\$ (9,723)</u>
Basic shares used in the calculation of net loss per share	53,968	54,550	53,924	54,459
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options		—		—
Restricted stock				
Diluted shares used in the calculation of net loss per share	53,968	54,550	53,924	54,459
Net loss per share—basic	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.18)
Net loss per share—diluted	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.18)



The shares of the Company's common stock, issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants and vesting of restricted stock that were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share because their effect would have been antidilutive is as follows:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Stock options, warrants and restricted stock	8,084,122	6,071,487	8,084,122	6,071,487

Note 3—Long Term Debt

On December 29, 2011, the Company entered into several agreements with entities affiliated with Deerfield Management, a healthcare investment fund ("Deerfield"), pursuant to which Deerfield agreed to provide \$15 million in funding to the Company. Pursuant to the terms of a Facility Agreement, dated as of December 29, 2011 (the "Facility Agreement"), on January 6, 2012 (the "Funding Date"), the Company issued to Deerfield promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$15 million (the "Notes"). Under a Revenue Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 29, 2011 (the "Revenue Purchase Agreement"), the Company agreed to pay Deerfield a portion of the Company's revenues until the maturity date of the Notes, whether or not the Notes are outstanding through that date. On the Funding Date, the Company issued to Deerfield (i) six-year warrants to purchase up to 2,250,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70 per share (the "Warrants") and (ii) a second Warrant (the "B Warrant") to purchase an additional 500,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$14,325,000 from the Transactions, representing \$15,000,000 of gross proceeds, less a \$225,000 facility fee and a \$450,000 finder's fee before deducting other expenses of the Transactions.

The Company has determined that the Facility Agreement will be accounted for as debt pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification 470, *Debt* ("ASC 470"). The Facility Agreement had an original issue discount of approximately \$4.1 million and an additional

value allocated to the warrants of approximately \$1.0 million. The discount is being accreted to the \$15.0 million face value of the Note on the effective interest method with an effective interest rate of 17.35% based on the discount of approximately \$5.1 million.

The original issue discount of approximately \$4.1 million was assigned to the Revenue Purchase Agreement. Under this agreement the Company is obligated to pay 4.25% of revenues up to \$25 million, 2.75% of annual revenues from \$25 million to \$50 million during 2012, 2013 and 2014, and 2.25% during 2015, 2016 and if the agreement is extended, in 2017, and 1.0% of annual revenues in excess of \$50 million. The proceeds of the Revenue Purchase Agreement will be capitalized as debt in accordance with ASC 470-10-25 *"Sales of Future Revenues or Various Other Measures of Income"*. The Company has estimated the cash flows associated with the Revenue Purchase Agreement and is amortizing the discount to interest expense over the expected term of the agreement at an effective interest rate of approximately 24.8%.

The overall effective rate of the financing arrangement is currently estimated to be approximately 19%.

The Company determined the Warrants should be classified as debt in accordance with ASC 480 "*Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*", as the Warrants contain a feature whereby the Company could be required to redeem the Warrants for cash upon the occurrence of a Major Transaction, as defined in the Warrants. The value of the Warrants was determined using a binomial lattice model as the provisions in the warrant could not be valued using the Black-Scholes model. The Warrant will be valued at fair value on a quarterly basis with changes in fair value recorded in the consolidated statement of operations (see Note 7).

The Company has determined that the B Warrants do not have any value as of the funding date, as the B Warrants are exercisable upon the Company's election to extend the agreement. The Company does not plan to extend the agreement at this time. If the Company determines it will extend the agreement, the value of the "B Warrants" will be determined using the binomial lattice model at such time.

The following amounts are included in the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012 related to the agreements:

Principal Amount of Facility Agreement	\$ 15,000
Unamortized discount	(4,670)
Carrying amount of Facility Agreement	10,330
Revenue Purchase Agreement	4,087
Notes payable total	\$ 14,417

The following amounts comprise interest expense included in our consolidated statement of operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Three months	Six months
Cash interest expense	\$ 457	\$ 953
Non-cash amortization of debt discount	228	415
Amortization of debt costs	42	82
Amortization of settlement obligations	104	216
Total interest expense	\$ 831	\$ 1,666

Cash interest expense represents the amount of interest expected to be paid in cash under the agreements, which represents the interest of 5.75% on the Facility Agreement and the expected cash payments on the Revenue Purchase Agreement for the period. Non-cash amortization is the amortization of the discount on the Facility Agreement. The amortization of debt costs represents the costs incurred with the financing, which is primarily the facility fee and the finder's fee, which was capitalized in accordance with ASC 835-20 "*Capitalization of Interest*" and will be expensed on the effective interest method based on the effective interest rate in the Facility Agreement in accordance with ASC 835-30-35-2 "*Imputation of Interest, the Interest Method*". The amortization of the settlement obligations represent the interest associated with the settlement agreements for both Zeiss and Hologic, Inc.("Hologic").

Note 4—Acquisition of Xoft

In December 2010, the Company completed its acquisition of Xoft, Inc,. Xoft designs, develops, manufactures, markets and sells electronic brachytherapy (eBx) products for the treatment of breast and other cancers, used in a broad range of clinical settings. The acquisition was made pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated December 15, 2010, by and between XAC, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company ("Merger Sub"), Xoft and Jeffrey Bird as the representative of the stockholders of Xoft ("Merger Agreement"). Upon the closing, Xoft was merged with and into the Merger Sub with the Merger Sub surviving the merger (the "Merger").

The Company acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Xoft in exchange for 8,348,501 shares of the Company's common stock and approximately \$1.2 million in cash, for a total consideration at closing of approximately \$12.9 million based on a per share value of \$1.40, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the closing date. The Company also paid certain transaction expenses of Xoft totaling approximately \$1.0 million which were accrued as of December 31, 2010 and paid in January 2011.

The Company deemed the 8,348,501 shares of common stock issuable to the former stockholders of Xoft, Inc pursuant to the Merger Agreement to be issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2010 for accounting purposes, although none of these shares were issued by the Company's transfer agent until 2011.

Under the Merger Agreement, there is an additional earn-out potential for the sellers that is tied to cumulative net revenue of Xoft products over the three years from the closing, payable at the end of that period. The threshold for earn-out consideration begins at \$50,000,000 of cumulative revenue of "Xoft Products" (as defined in the Merger Agreement) over the three year period immediately following the closing. The "targeted" earn-out consideration of \$20,000,000 will occur at \$76,000,000 of cumulative revenue of Xoft Products over the three year period. The Company evaluates the value of the contingent consideration on a quarterly basis. At June 30, 2012, the Company has determined the thresholds are unlikely to be met and as a result no liability has been recorded for the contingent consideration.

At closing, 10% of the cash amount and 10% of the amount of the Company's common stock comprising the merger consideration was placed in escrow. The escrow was to remain for a period of fifteen months following the closing of the Merger to secure post-closing indemnification obligations of Xoft stockholders.

On December 22, 2011, the Company agreed to settle an outstanding litigation with Zeiss, which was partially indemnified under the Merger Agreement.

In connection with the settlement, the Company determined the settlement was a measurement period adjustment and recorded, retrospectively, approximately \$1.6 million as the fair value of the settlement liability, an indemnification asset of approximately \$1.3 million to reflect the value of the escrow shares and cash as of the date of acquisition, and approximately \$0.3 million of additional goodwill as of December 31, 2010. The fair value of the indemnification asset was recorded based on the value of the underlying stock at the date of acquisition. Subsequent changes in the value of the stock and the fair value of the indemnification asset were recorded as a loss on the asset of approximately \$250,000 and \$293,000 in the consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The indemnification asset was extinguished upon recovery of the cash and escrow shares on December 23, 2011, and the escrow shares were recorded to treasury stock.

The purchase price of \$17.8 million, which includes \$12.9 million of merger consideration and \$4.9 million of contingent consideration, has been allocated to net assets acquired based upon the estimated fair value of those assets.

The following is a summary of the allocation of the total purchase price based on the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of the acquisition and the amortizable lives of the intangible assets (amounts in thousands):

Amount	Estimated Amortizable Life
\$ 5,313	
1,951	3-7 Years
13,700	15 Years
100	6 Years
643	
4,422	
(5,196)	
(3,154)	
\$17,779	
	$ \begin{array}{r} \overline{\$ 5,313} \\ 1,951 \\ 13,700 \\ 100 \\ 643 \\ 4,422 \\ (5,196) \\ (3,154) \end{array} $

The goodwill of \$4.4 million is not deductible for income tax purposes.

Note 5—Stock-Based Compensation

The Company follows the guidance in FASB ASC Topic 718, "Compensation-Stock Compensation", ("ASC 718").

In accordance with ASC 718, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense as follows:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Stock based compensation expense	\$234,000	\$315,000	\$448,000	\$584,000

Options granted under the Company's stock incentive plans were valued utilizing the Black-Scholes model using the following assumptions and had the following fair values:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		ths Ended le 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Average risk-free interest rate	0.83%	3.02%	1.41%	3.06%	
Expected dividend yield	None	None	None	None	
Expected life	3.5 years	3.5 years	3.5 years	3.5 years	
Expected volatility	67.4% to 67.8%	67.7% to 68.0%	67.4% to 68.8%	67.7% to 69.2%	
Weighted average exercise price	\$0.47	\$1.20	\$0.56	\$1.20	
Weighted average fair value	\$0.23	\$0.60	\$0.28	\$0.61	

As of June 30, 2012 unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested options and restricted stock and the weighted average remaining period is as follows:

Remaining expense	1,393,740
Weighted average term	1.04 years

The Company's aggregate intrinsic value for stock options and restricted stock outstanding is as follows:

		nths Ended le 30,	Six Month June	
Aggregate intrinsic value	2012	2011	2012	2011
Stock options	\$ 500	\$ 6,000	\$ 500	\$ 6,000
Restricted stock	187,000	563,000	187,000	563,000

Note 6—Commitments and Contingencies

Foreign Tax Claim

In July 2007, a dissolved former Canadian subsidiary of the Company, CADx Medical Systems Inc. ("CADx Medical"), received a tax re-assessment of approximately \$6,800,000 from the Canada Revenue Agency ("CRA") resulting from CRA's audit of CADx Medical's Canadian federal tax return for the year ended December 31, 2002. In February 2010 the CRA reviewed the matter and reduced the tax re-assessment to approximately \$703,000, excluding interest and penalties. The Company believes that it is not liable for the re-assessment against CADx Medical and no accrual has been recorded for this matter as of June 30, 2012.

Settlement Obligations

In connection with the acquisition of Xoft, the Company recorded a royalty obligation pursuant to a settlement agreement entered into between Xoft and Hologic in August 2007. Xoft received a nonexclusive, irrevocable, perpetual, worldwide license, including the right to sublicense certain Hologic patents, and a non-compete covenant as well as an agreement not to seek further damages with respect to the alleged patent violations. In return the Company has a remaining obligation to pay a minimum annual royalty payment of \$250,000 payable through 2016. In addition to the minimum annual royalty payments, the litigation settlement agreement with Hologic also provided for payment of royalties based upon a specified percentage of future net sales on any products that practice the licensed rights. The estimated fair value of the patent license and non-compete covenant is \$100,000 and is being amortized over the estimated remaining useful life of approximately six years. In addition, a liability has been recorded within accrued expenses and long-term settlement cost for future payment and for future minimum royalty obligations totaling \$877,000. The Company recorded interest expense of approximately \$37,000 and \$72,000 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$43,000 and \$80,000, in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 respectively, related to this obligation.

On December 22, 2011, the Company agreed to a settlement related to the litigation with Zeiss. The Company determined that this settlement should be recorded as a measurement period adjustment and accordingly recorded the present value of the litigation to the opening balance sheet of Xoft. The present value of the liability was estimated at approximately \$1.6 million and \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively. The Company is obligated to pay \$1.0 million in payments throughout 2012, and an additional \$0.5 million in June 2013, \$0.5 million in June 2015 and \$0.5 million in June 2017, for a total of \$2.5 million. As of June 30, 2012, a liability has been recorded within accrued expenses and long-term settlement cost for future payment and for future minimum royalty obligations totaling \$1.5 million. The Company recorded interest expense of approximately \$67,000 and \$144,000 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively and \$68,000 and \$136,000 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 respectively, related to this obligation.

Litigation

On February 18, 2011, in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00451816-CU-PL-CXC), named plaintiffs Jane Doe and John Doe filed a complaint against Xoft, the Company, and Hoag Memorial Hospital Presbyterian asserting causes of action for general negligence, breach of warranty, and strict liability and seeking unlimited damages in excess of \$25,000. On March 2, 2011, the Company received a Statement of Damages – specifying that the damages being sought aggregated an amount of at least approximately \$14.5 million. On April 6, 2011, plaintiffs Jane Doe and John Doe amended their complaint alleging only medical malpractice against Hoag Memorial Hospital Presbyterian. On April 8, 2011, another complaint was filed in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00465448-CU-MM-CXC) on behalf of four additional Jane Doe plaintiffs and two John Doe spouses with identical allegations against the same defendants. One John Doe spouse from this group of plaintiffs was later dismissed on August 18, 2011. On April 19, 2011, a sixth Jane Doe plaintiff filed an identical complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00468687-CU-MM-CXC), and on May 4, 2011, a seventh Jane Doe plaintiff and John Doe spouse filed another complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00473120-CU-PO-CXC), again with identical allegations against the same defendants. On July 12, 2011, an eighth Jane Doe plaintiff and John Doe spouse filed a complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00491068-CU-PL-CXC), and on July 14, 2011, a ninth Jane Doe plaintiff and John Doe spouse filed another complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00491497-CU-PL-CXC), each with identical allegations as the previously filed complaints. On August 18, 2011, these two groups of Jane Doe plaintiffs and John Doe spouses amended their complaints to correct certain deficiencies. Additionally on August 18, 2011, a tenth Jane Doe plaintiff and two additional John Doe spouses filed a complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-501448-CU-PL-CXC), again with identical allegations against the same defendants. On January 18, 2012, three additional Jane Doe plaintiffs and one additional John Doe spouse filed a complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2012-00538423-CU-PL-CXC) with identical allegations against the same defendants. On April 11, 2012, the above-referenced cases were consolidated for all purposes, excluding trial. On May 2, 2012, plaintiffs filed a master consolidated complaint, with the same case number as the original filed complaint. It is alleged that each Jane Doe plaintiff was a patient who was treated with the Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy System that incorporated the Axxent Flexishield Mini. The Company believes that all of the Jane Doe plaintiffs were part of the group of 29 patients treated using the Axxent Flexishield Mini as part of a clinical trial. The Axxent Flexishield Mini is the subject of a voluntary recall. Because of the preliminary nature of this complaint, the Company is unable to evaluate the merits of the claims; however, based upon our preliminary analysis, we plan to vigorously defend the lawsuits. Accordingly, since the amount of the potential damages in the event of an adverse result is not reasonably estimable, no expense has been recorded with respect to the contingent liability associated with this matter.

On September 28, 2011, Yeda Research and Development Company, Ltd. ("Yeda") commenced an action against the Company in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Yeda alleges that iCAD is utilizing certain patents owned by Yeda without a license agreement. Yeda seeks declaratory judgment from the court that it is the owner of the patents and seeks monetary relief from iCAD for alleged patent infringement. On June 15, 2012, the Company settled the alleged patent infringement, and was granted a non-exclusive license to use the patents in exchange for an annual payment equivalent to a percentage of the licensed products with a minimum annual royalty of \$25,000 per year. The Company also paid a one-time fee at the execution of the agreement and payment of a percentage of sales of the licensed products thru May 2012, of which prior period amounts had been previously accrued.

Note 7—Fair Value Measurements

The Company has adopted FASB ASC Topic 820, "*Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures*", ("ASC 820"). This topic defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under US GAAP and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined under ASC 820 as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value under ASC 820 must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The standard describes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value which are the following:

- Level 1—Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2—Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3—Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value

A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Our financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and certain accrued liabilities and our notes payable. The carrying amounts of our cash and cash equivalents (which are comprised primarily of deposit and overnight sweep accounts), accounts receivable, accounts payable, and certain accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments. The carrying value of our notes payable approximates fair value due to the proximity of the funding date.

The Company's assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis relate to the Company's money market accounts. The Company's liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis relate to contingent consideration resulting from the acquisition of Xoft and the warrants issued in connection with the Deerfield Facility Agreement.

The Company's money market funds are included in cash and cash equivalents in the accompanying balance sheet, and are considered a Level 1 investment as they are valued at quoted market prices in active markets.

The following table sets forth Company's assets and liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy.

	Fair value measurements using: (000's) as of December 31	, 2011			
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets					
Money market accounts		\$4,452	\$ —	<u>\$ —</u>	\$4,452
Total Assets		\$4,452	\$ —	<u>\$ —</u>	\$4,452
Liabilities					
Contingent Consideration		\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

Fair value measurements using: (000's) as of June 30, 2012

.	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Money market accounts	\$14,262	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	\$14,262
Total Assets	<u>\$14,262</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	\$14,262
Liabilities				
Contingent Consideration	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Warrant Liability			613	613
Total Liabilities	\$	\$ —	\$ 613	\$ 613

The fair value of contingent consideration was determined to be \$0 at December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2012, as the Company does not expect to meet the revenue thresholds as described in Note 4.

As discussed in Note 3, the Company 2,250,000 warrants were immediately exercisable and therefore were valued as of the funding date. The warrant liability for the warrants associated with the debt was valued using the binomial lattice-based valuation methodology because that model embodies all of the relevant assumptions that address the features underlying these instruments. Significant assumptions in valuing the warrant liability were as follows as of January 6, 2012 (the Funding Date) and June 30, 2012.

	January 6, 2012		June 30, 201	
Warrants				
Exercise price	\$	0.70	\$	0.70
Volatility		80.4%		79.6%
Equivalent term (years)		6.00		5.52
Risk-free interest rate		1.4%		1.1%

The volatility was determined based on the definition in the Warrants, the risk-free interest rate was determined using the six year LIBOR rate as of the measurement date.

In addition the other significant assumptions include the probability of voluntary exercise versus a Major Transaction (as defined in the Warrants); and assuming a Major Transaction, the probability of Cashless Major Exercise; and assuming a Cashless Major Exercise, the annual probabilities for a Major Transaction. The Company has estimated a low probability of these items as of June 30, 2012.

The following sets forth a reconciliation of the changes in the fair value of warrants payable during the period:

Six months ended June 30, 2012	
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ 0
Warrant issuance	999
Fair value adjustment	(599)
Balance as of March 31, 2012	400
Fair value adjustment	213
Balance as of June 30, 2012	<u>\$ 613</u>

Items Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Certain assets, including our goodwill, are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These assets are recognized at fair value when they are deemed to be impaired. We did not consider any assets to be impaired during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Note 8—Income Taxes

At June 30, 2012, the Company had no material unrecognized tax benefits and no adjustments to liabilities or operations were required under ASC 740, "*Income Taxes*". The Company does not expect that the unrecognized tax benefits will materially increase within the next twelve months. The Company did not recognize any interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions at June 30, 2012. The Company files United States federal income tax returns and income tax returns in various states and local jurisdictions. Generally, the Company's three preceding tax years remain subject to examination by federal and state taxing authorities. The Company completed an examination by the Internal Revenue Service with respect to the 2008 tax year in January 2011, which resulted in no changes to the tax return originally filed. The Company is not under examination by any other federal or state jurisdiction for any tax years.

Note 9—Goodwill

In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 350-20, "*Intangibles—Goodwill and Other*", ("ASC 350-20"), the Company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and between annual tests if events and circumstances indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of the Company is less than the carrying value of the Company.

The Company's goodwill arose in connection with its acquisitions in June 2002, December 2003 and December 2010. The Company operates in one segment and one reporting unit since operations are supported by one central staff and the results of operations are evaluated as one business unit. In general the Company's medical device products are similar in nature based on production, distribution, services provided and regulatory requirements. The Company uses market capitalization as the best evidence of fair value (market capitalization is calculated using the quoted closing share price of the Company's common stock at its annual impairment testing date of October 1, multiplied by the number of common shares outstanding) of the Company. The Company tests goodwill for impairment by comparing its market capitalization or market capitalization with a reasonable control premium (fair value) to its carrying value. The fair value of the Company is compared to the carrying amount at the same date as the basis to determine if a potential impairment exists.

The Company assesses the potential impairment of goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable and at least annually. Factors the Company considers important, which could trigger an impairment of such asset, include the following:

- significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future operating results;
- significant changes in the manner or use of the assets or the strategy for the Company's overall business;
- significant negative industry or economic trends;
- significant decline in the Company's stock price for a sustained period; and
- a decline in the Company's market capitalization below net book value.

The Company concluded there were no triggering events as of June 30, 2012.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, as a result of the sustained decline in the market capitalization of the Company, an interim Step 1 analysis was completed. The interim Step 1 test resulted in the determination that the carrying value of equity exceeded the fair value of equity, thus requiring the Company to measure the amount of any goodwill impairment by performing the second step of the impairment test. The Company corroborated the Step 1 analysis using an income approach.

During the quarter ended, September 30, 2011, the Company recorded an impairment loss of approximately \$26.8 million. However, as a result of recording a measurement period adjustment, the fair value of goodwill was reevaluated. The Step 2 test resulted in determining the fair value of goodwill of \$21.1 million which resulted in an additional impairment loss of \$78,000.

Additional, purchase accounting adjustments, considered to be measurement period adjustments, were recorded in the six months subsequent to the acquisition of Xoft and consisted primarily of a \$1.5 million decrease of the acquired patent asset, a decrease of \$500,000 in the acquired technology asset, a decrease in the fair value estimate of the royalty obligation of \$200,000 and a decrease of \$100,000 related to contingent consideration and an increase of approximately \$300,000 related to unrecorded liabilities. These measurement period adjustments had no effect on the Company's operations and results and had an immaterial effect on the December 31, 2010 balance sheet. Accordingly, the adjustments were recorded during 2011, and were considered in the impairment analysis during the third quarter of 2011.

The carrying amount of goodwill for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was approximately \$21.1 million.

On December 22, 2011, the Company agreed to a settlement related to the litigation with Zeiss (see Note 2). The Company determined that this settlement should be recorded as a measurement period adjustment and accordingly recorded the present value of the litigation, retrospectively to the opening balance sheet of Xoft. As a result, goodwill increased from approximately \$45.7 million to \$46.0 million as of December 31, 2010.

At June 30, 2012 the Company's market capitalization (or market capitalization with a reasonable control premium) exceeded its carrying value. The Company is required to perform the annual step one fair value analysis as of October 1, 2012.

Note 10—Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards" (Topic 820)—Fair Value Measurement (ASU 2011-04), to provide a consistent definition of fair value and ensure that the fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are similar between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 changes certain fair value measurement principles and enhances the disclosure requirements particularly for level 3 fair value measurements. ASU 2011-04 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on the financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)—Testing Goodwill for Impairment (ASU 2011-08), to allow entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. ASU 2011-08 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, however early adoption is permitted. The adoption did not have a material impact on the financial statements.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

"Safe Harbor" Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: Certain information included in this Item 2 and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q that are not historical facts contain forward looking statements that involve a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievement expressed or implied by such forward looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, uncertainty of future sales and expense levels, protection of patents and other proprietary rights, the impact of supply and manufacturing constraints or difficulties, regulatory changes and requirements applicable to our products, product market acceptance, possible technological obsolescence of products, increased competition, integration of the acquired businesses, the impact of litigation and/or government regulation, changes in Medicare reimbursement policies, competitive factors, the effects of a decline in the economy in markets served by the Company and other risks detailed in the Company's other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The words "believe", "plan", "intend", "expect", "estimate", "anticipate", "likely", "seek", "should" "would", "could" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on those forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statement was made.

Results of Operations

Overview

iCAD is an industry-leading provider of advanced image analysis and workflow solutions that enable radiologists and other healthcare professionals to better serve patients by identifying pathologies and pinpointing cancer earlier. iCAD offers a comprehensive range of high-performance, expandable Computer-Aided Detection (CAD) systems and workflow solutions for mammography (film-based, digital radiography (DR) and computed radiography (CR), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), and Computed Tomography (CT)). iCAD's solutions aid in the early detection of the most prevalent cancers including breast, prostate and colon cancer. Early detection of cancer is the key to better prognosis, less invasive and lower treatment costs, and higher survival rates. Performed as an adjunct to mammography screening, CAD has quickly become the standard of care in breast cancer detection, helping radiologists improve clinical outcomes while enhancing workflow. Computer-enhanced breast and prostate MRI analysis streamlines case interpretation workflow and generates more robust information for more effective patient treatment. CAD for mammography screening is also reimbursable in the U.S. under federal and most third-party insurance programs. Since receiving approval from the FDA for the Company's first breast cancer detection product in January 2002, over 4,000 of iCAD's CAD systems have been placed in mammography practices worldwide. iCAD is the only stand-alone company offering CAD solutions for the early detection of breast cancer.

The Company's CAD systems include proprietary algorithm and other technology together with standard computer and display equipment. CAD systems for the film-based analog mammography market also include a radiographic film digitizer, either manufactured by the Company or others for the digitization of film-based medical images.

The Company intends to apply its core competencies in pattern recognition and algorithm development in disease detection to its future product development efforts. Its focus is on the development and marketing of cancer detection products for disease states where there are established or emerging protocols for screening as a standard of care. iCAD expects to pursue development or acquisition of products for select disease states that demonstrate one or more of the following: it is clinically proven that screening has a significant positive impact on patient outcomes, where there is an opportunity to lower health care costs, where screening is non-invasive or minimally invasive and where public awareness is high. The Company also intends to pursue opportunities beyond CAD through possible strategic acquisitions as part of its growth strategy, as such the Company continues to actively evaluate strategic opportunities in the oncology market that could leverage its opportunities for growth beyond its historic core markets.

iCAD has applied its patented detection technology and algorithms to the development of CAD solutions for use with virtual colonoscopy or CT Colonography (CTC) to improve the detection of colonic polyps. The Company's pattern recognition and image analysis expertise are readily applicable to colonic polyp detection and the Company has developed a CTC CAD solution. Virtual colonoscopy (CTC) is a technology that has evolved rapidly in recent years. Based on the results of the National CT Colonography trial completed in September 2008, the Company expects that the market for virtual colonoscopy will grow along with the procedures for early detection of colon cancer. This trial demonstrated that CTC is highly accurate for the detection of intermediate and large polyps and that the accuracy of CTC is similar to a colonoscopy. CT Colonography or CTC is emerging as an alternative imaging procedure for evaluation of the colon. The Company has developed and commenced marketing VeralookTM, a product for computer aided detection of polyps in the colon using CTC and completed the clinical testing of its CTC CAD product in the first quarter of 2009. The Company filed a 510(k) application with the FDA in May 2009 seeking FDA clearance to market Veralook in the U.S and received FDA clearance on August 4, 2010, and is now commercially available. Colorectal cancer has been shown to be highly preventable with early detection and removal of polyps.

In July 2008, the Company acquired pharmaco-kinetic based CAD products that aid in the interpretation of contrast enhanced MRI images of the breast and prostate and began marketing these products in the fourth quarter of 2008. The interpretation of MRI exams also benefits from advanced image analysis and clinical decision support tools. MRI is an excellent tool to detect breast cancer as well as prostate cancer. While MRI is a more expensive option than traditional mammography, it enables physicians to view tumors which may have been missed during routine screenings. MRI uses magnets and radio waves instead of x-rays to produce very detailed, cross-sectional images of the body, and can be used to look specifically at those areas.

The acquisition of Xoft Inc. ("Xoft"), in December 2010, brought an isotope-free cancer treatment platform technology to the Company's product line. Xoft designs, develops, manufactures, markets and sells electronic brachytherapy (eBx) products for the treatment of breast and other cancers, used in a broad range of clinical settings. The portable Axxent System which delivers electronically controlled radiation therapy directly to cancer sites with minimal radiation exposure to surrounding healthy tissue is FDA-cleared. Electronic Brachytherapy (eBxTM) is a type of

brachytherapy that utilizes a miniaturized high dose rate X-ray source to apply radiation directly to the cancerous site. The goal is to direct the radiation dose to the size and shape of the cancerous area, sparing healthy tissue and organs. The Xoft technology delivers similar clinical dose rates to traditional radio-active systems. Electronic Brachytherapy can be delivered during an operative procedure and may be used as a primary or secondary modality over a course of days. This technology enables radiation oncology departments in hospitals, clinics and physician offices to perform traditional radiotherapy treatments and offer advanced treatments such as Intra-Operative Radiation Therapy (IORT). Current customers for the Xoft eBx system include university research and community hospitals, private and governmental institutions, doctors' offices and cancer care clinics.

The Company's headquarters are located in Nashua, New Hampshire, with manufacturing and contract manufacturing facilities in New Hampshire and Massachusetts, a research and development facility in Ohio and, with its acquisition of Xoft, an operation, research, development, manufacturing and warehousing facility in Sunnyvale, California.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows are based on the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates these estimates, including those related to accounts receivable allowance, inventory valuation and obsolescence, intangible assets, income taxes, warranty obligations, contingencies and litigation. Additionally, the Company uses assumptions and estimates in calculations to determine stock-based compensation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. For a comprehensive list of the Company's critical accounting policies, reference should be made to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed on March 9, 2012.

Three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011

Revenue:

Three months ended June 30:

Total revenue for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$5.9 million compared with revenue of \$6.6 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2011, a decrease of \$0.7 million or 10.8%. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to a reduction in digital, MRI and film based revenues offset by increases from the electronic brachytherapy products and increases in service and supply revenue.

	Three months ended June 30,				
	2012	2011	Change	% Change	
Digital & MRI revenue	\$2,321	\$3,197	\$(876)	(27.4)%	
Film based revenue	365	537	(172)	(32.0)%	
Electronic Brachytherapy	889	760	129	17.0%	
Service & supply revenue	2,356	2,152	204	9.5%	
Total revenue	\$5,931	\$6,646	\$(715)	(10.8)%	

Our Digital and MRI CAD revenue for three month period ended June 30, 2012 decreased \$0.9 million or 27.4%, to \$2.3 million compared to revenue of \$3.2 million in the three month period ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was due primarily to a decrease in market share by our customers which led to decreased demand for our systems.

Revenue from iCAD's film based products decreased 32.0% or \$172,000, to \$365,000 in the three month period ended June 30, 2012 from \$537,000 in the three month period ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was primarily attributed to the decline in sales of our TotalLook MammoAdvantage. The TotalLook MammoAdvantage product is used for digitizing film based prior mammography exams for comparative reading and is sold to further optimize workflow in a digital mammography environment. This decrease continues to reflect the expected decrease in demand for film-based products and accessories as the marketplace continues to transition to digital technologies.

Revenue from our Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy System and accessories, was \$889,000 in the three month period ended June 30, 2012 an increase of 17.0% from \$760,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2011. Demand for the Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy System improved during the quarter, with sales increases for the controllers as well as the related accessories. We believe that there is continued momentum for the Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy System driven primarily for its use in the intra-operative radiation therapy ("IORT") market, particularly breast IORT.

Service and supply revenue increased 9.5% or \$204,000 in the three month period ended June 30, 2012, to \$2.4 million compared to \$2.2 million in three months ended June 30, 2011. Service and supply revenue relating to our digital CAD and TotalLookMammoAdvantage systems was approximately \$1.7 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 and remained flat as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. Service and supply revenue in the first quarter of 2012 included approximately \$610,000 related to the Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy

products, which represented an increase of \$203,000 or 50.0% as compared to \$407,000 in the three months ended June 30, 2011. Service and supply revenue related to our Electronic Brachytherapy products increased primarily due to increases in service and source agreements related to sales of the Electronic Brachytherapy system. We expect service and supply revenue for our Electronic Brachytherapy products to increase as our installed base increases.

Gross Margin:

		Three months ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	Change	% Change	
Products	\$ 969	\$1,140	\$(171)	(15.0)%	
Service & supply	560	769	(209)	(27.2)%	
Amortization of acquired intangibles	233	233		0%	
Total cost of revenue	\$1,762	\$2,142	\$(380)	(17.7)%	
Gross Margin	\$4,169	\$4,504	\$(335)	(7.4)%	

Gross margin for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$4.2 million or 70.3% of revenue as compared to \$4.5 million or 67.8% of revenue in the three month period ended June 30, 2011. Gross margin percent increased despite the decrease in revenue, due to ongoing expense reductions of our manufacturing costs, primarily labor and overhead. Gross margin percent is impacted by amortization of acquired technology, and costs related to the fixed cost of our manufacturing operation. We expect the gross margin percent to improve slightly as revenues increase and absorb the fixed manufacturing costs and amortization expense.

Operating Expenses:

	Three months ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	Change	Change %
Operating expenses:				
Engineering and product development	\$1,975	\$ 3,304	\$(1,329)	(40.2)%
Marketing and sales	2,488	3,945	(1,457)	(36.9)%
General and administrative	1,618	3,413	(1,795)	(52.6)%
Contingent Consideration	—	(1,100)	1,100	(100.0)%
Loss on indemnification asset		250	(250)	(100.0)%
Total operating expenses	\$6,081	\$ 9,811	\$(3,730)	(38.0)%

Engineering and Product Development. Engineering and product development costs for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 decreased by \$1.3 million or 40%, from \$3.3 million in 2011 to \$2.0 million in 2012. The decrease in engineering and product development costs was primarily due to the decrease in personnel and related expenses and consulting costs, as a result of cost saving measures implemented during the second quarter of 2011.

Marketing and Sales. Marketing and sales expenses decreased by \$1.5 million or 37%, from \$3.9 million in the three month period ended June 30, 2011 to \$2.5 million in three month period ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in marketing and sales expenses primarily resulted from reductions in personnel and related expenses and overhead expenses due to operating expense reductions related to cost saving initiatives implemented at the end of the second quarter of 2011.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses decreased by \$1.8 million or 53%, from \$3.4 million in the three month period ended June 30, 2011 to \$1.6 million in for the three month period ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in general and administrative expense is primarily due to reductions in personnel costs related to the cost saving initiatives implemented during the second quarter of 2011, and legal expenses related to on-going patent litigation that was settled in December 2011.

Contingent Consideration. Contingent Consideration represents a gain of \$1.1 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2011, as the Company determined that the revenue thresholds as described in Note 4, were unlikely to be met. There were no changes during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Loss on indemnification asset. The Company recorded an indemnification asset in connection with the acquisition of Xoft in 2010. The loss of \$250,000 represented a loss on the asset related to the fair value of the underlying stock.

Other Income and Expense:

		Three months ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	Change	Change %	
Loss from change in fair value of warrants	\$ (213)	<u></u> \$ —	(213)		
Interest expense	(831)	(111)	(720)	648.6%	
Interest income	13	7	6	<u> </u>	
	\$(1,031)	\$(104)	\$(927)	891.3%	

Loss from change in fair value of Warrants. The loss from change in fair value of the warrants resulted from an increase in the fair value of the warrants under the binomial lattice based valuation methodology, due primarily to an increase in volatility, which is one of the key assumptions in determining the value of the warrants.

Interest (Expense)/Income. Interest expense increased by \$720,000 or 649% for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 as compared to interest expense of \$111,000 in the three month period ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense is due primarily to \$727,000 of interest expense related to the financing obligation incurred in January 2012. Interest related to the Hologic and Zeiss settlement obligations was \$104,000 as compared to \$111,000 in the second quarter of 2011. Interest income reflects income earned from our money market accounts which increased in 2012.

Six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011

Revenue:

Six months ended June 30:

Total revenue for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$12.3 million compared with revenue of \$14.0 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2011, a decrease of \$1.7 million or 12.3%. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to a reduction in digital, MRI and film based revenues offset by increases from the electronic brachytherapy products and an increase in service and supply revenue.

	Six months ended June 30,				
	2012	2011	Change	% Change	
Digital & MRI revenue	\$ 4,511	\$ 6,960	\$(2,449)	(35.2)%	
Film based revenue	792	1,054	(262)	(24.9)%	
Electronic Brachytherapy	2,351	1,695	656	38.7%	
Service & supply revenue	4,620	4,281	339	<u> </u>	
Total revenue	\$12,274	\$13,990	<u>\$(1,716)</u>	(12.3)%	

Our digital and MRI CAD revenue for six month period ended June 30, 2012 decreased \$2.5 million or 35%, to \$4.5 million compared to revenue of \$7.0 million in the six month period ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was due primarily to a decrease in market share by our customers which led to decreased demand for our systems.

Revenue from iCAD's film based products decreased 25% or \$262,000, to \$792,000 in the six month period ended June 30, 2012 from \$1.1 million in the six month period ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was primarily attributed to the decline in sales of our TotalLook MammoAdvantage. The TotalLook MammoAdvantage product is used for digitizing film based prior mammography exams for comparative reading and is sold to further optimize workflow in a digital mammography environment. This decrease continues to reflect the expected decrease in demand for film-based products and accessories as the marketplace continues to transition to digital technologies.

Revenue from our Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy System and accessories was \$2.4 million in the six month period ended June 30, 2012 an increase of 39% from \$1.7 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2011. Demand for the Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy System improved during the quarter, with sales increases for the controllers as well as the related accessories. We believe that there is continued momentum for the Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy System driven primarily for its use in the intra-operative radiation therapy ("IORT") market, particularly breast IORT.

Service and supply revenue increased 7.9% or \$339,000 in the six month period ended June 30, 2012, to \$4.6 million compared to \$4.3 million in six months ended June 30, 2011. Service and supply revenue relating to our digital CAD and TotalLookMammoAdvantage systems was approximately \$3.5 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 and remained flat as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. Service and supply revenue in the second quarter of 2012 included approximately \$1.2 million related to the Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy products, which represented an increase of \$354,000 or 44% as compared to \$798,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2011. Service and supply revenue related to our Electronic Brachytherapy products increased primarily due to increases in service agreements related to sales of the Electronic Brachytherapy system. We expect service and supply revenue for our Electronic Brachytherapy products to increase as our installed base increases.

Gross Margin:

	Six months ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	Change	% Change
Products	\$2,076	\$2,347	\$ (271)	(11.5)%
Service & supply	1,137	1,541	(404)	(26.2)%
Amortization of acquired intangibles	465	466	(1)	0.2%
Total cost of revenue	\$3,678	\$4,354	<u>\$ (676</u>)	(15.5)%
Gross Margin	\$8,596	\$9,636	\$(1,040)	(10.8)%

Gross margin for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$8.6 million or 70.0% of revenue as compared to \$9.6 million or 68.9% of revenue in the six month period ended June 30, 2011. Gross margin percent remained flat despite the decrease in revenue, due to ongoing expense reductions. Gross margin percent is impacted by amortization of acquired technology, and costs related to the fixed cost of our manufacturing operation. We expect that gross margin percent can improve slightly in the future as revenues increase and absorb the fixed manufacturing costs and amortization expense.

Operating Expenses:

		Six months ended June 30,				
	2012	2011	Change	Change %		
Operating expenses:						
Engineering and product development	\$ 4,187	\$ 6,080	\$(1,893)	(31.1)%		
Marketing and sales	5,134	7,672	(2,538)	(33.1)%		
General and administrative	3,236	6,217	(2,981)	(47.9)%		
Contingent Consideration	_	(1,100)	1,100	(100.0)%		
Loss on indemnification asset	—	293	(293)	(100.0)%		
Total operating expenses	\$12,557	\$19,161	\$(6,604)	(34.5)%		

Engineering and Product Development. Engineering and product development costs for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 decreased by \$1.9 million or 31%, from \$6.1 million in 2011 to \$4.2 million in 2012. The decrease in engineering and product development costs was primarily due to the decrease in personnel and related expenses and consulting costs, as a result of cost saving measures implemented during the second quarter of 2011.

Marketing and Sales. Marketing and sales expenses decreased by \$2.5 million or 33%, from \$7.7 million in the six month period ended June 30, 2011 to \$5.1 million in six month period ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in marketing and sales expenses primarily resulted from reductions in personnel and related expenses and overhead expenses due to operating expense reductions as a result of cost saving initiatives implemented at the end of the second quarter of 2011.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses decreased by \$3.0 million or 48%, from \$6.2 million in the six month period ended June 30, 2011 to \$3.2 million in for the six month period ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in general and administrative expense is primarily due to reductions in personnel costs as a result of cost saving initiatives implemented during the second quarter of 2011, legal expenses related to on-going patent litigation that was settled in December 2011, and transaction related costs incurred during the first quarter of 2011 due to the acquisition of Xoft, that did not occur in the first quarter of 2012.

Contingent Consideration. Contingent Consideration represents a gain of \$1.1 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2011, as the Company determined that the revenue thresholds as described in Note 4, were unlikely to be met. There were no changes during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Loss on indemnification asset. The Company recorded an indemnification asset in connection with the acquisition of Xoft in 2010. The loss of \$293,000 represented a loss on the asset related to the fair value of the underlying stock.

Other Income and Expense:

		Six months ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	Change	Change %
Gain from change in fair value of warrants	\$ 386	<u></u> \$ —	386	
Interest expense	(1,666)	(216)	(1,450)	671.3%
Interest income	34	18	16	88.9%
	\$(1,246)	<u>\$(198</u>)	\$(1,048)	529.3%

Gain from change in fair value of warrants. The gain from change in fair value of the warrants resulted from a reduction in the fair value of the Warrants under the binomial lattice based valuation methodology, due primarily to the decline in our stock price offset by an increase in volatility which increased the value of the warrants during the second quarter of 2012 versus the decrease in the value of the warrants during the first quarter of 2012. We expect continued variability in the value of the warrants due to the nature of the underlying assumptions that determine the value of warrants.

Interest (Expense)/Income. Interest expense increased by \$1.5 million or 671% for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 as compared to interest expense of \$216,000 in the six month period ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense is due primarily to \$1.5 million of interest expense related to the financing obligation incurred in January 2012. Interest related to the Hologic and Zeiss settlement obligations combined was \$216,000 for each of the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011. Interest income reflects income earned from our money market accounts which increased in 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe that our current liquidity and capital resources are sufficient to sustain operations through at least the next 12 months, primarily due to cash on hand and projected cash generation from operations. Our ability to generate cash adequate to meet our future capital requirements will depend primarily on operating cash flow. If sales or cash collections are reduced from current expectations, or if expenses and cash requirements are increased, we may require additional financing, although there are no guarantees that we will be able to obtain the financing if necessary, on acceptable terms or at all.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had cash and equivalents of \$14.3 million, current assets of \$20.4 million, current liabilities of \$11.8 million and working capital of \$8.6 million. The ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 1.73:1.

On December 29, 2011, we entered into several agreements with entities affiliated with Deerfield pursuant to which Deerfield agreed to provide \$15 million in funding to the Company. Pursuant to the terms of the Facility Agreement, on the Funding Date we issued to Deerfield Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$15 million. Under the Revenue Purchase Agreement, we agreed to pay Deerfield a portion of our revenues until the maturity date of the Notes, whether or not the Notes are outstanding through that date. On the Funding Date, we issued to Deerfield, Warrants at an exercise price of \$0.70 per share and a second B Warrant (to purchase an additional 500,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70 per share, which may become exercisable if certain conditions are met, as described in the Warrant Agreement. We are obligated to pay interest at 5.75% on the balance of the Notes that are outstanding, which is approximately \$216,000 per quarter until the fourth quarter of 2014. In 2015, interest is approximately \$162,000 and in 2016, interest is approximately \$108,000, with the final payment of \$1.5 million on the Notes balance due in January 2017 (unless we elect to extend). We are also required to pay a minimum commitment of \$125,000 per quarter under the Revenue Purchase Agreement; however this minimum is met at approximately \$2.9 million of revenue per quarter. We expect to exceed the minimum revenue thresholds, on a quarterly basis.

Net cash used for operating activities for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$4.3 million, compared to net cash used for operating activities of \$7.1 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2011. The cash used for operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 resulted primarily from a reduction in accrued expenses of approximately \$2.3 million and net loss of \$5.2 million offset by adjustments to net income of approximately \$2.3 million. We expect that cash used or provided by operating activities may fluctuate in future periods as a result of a number of factors, including fluctuations in our operating results, specifically the timing of when we recognize revenue, our accounts receivable collections and the timing of other payments.

The net cash used for investing activities for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$278,000 as compared \$191,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2011. Cash used for investing activities consisted primarily of additions to property and equipment.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$14.3 million, which consisted of cash received in connection with the financing. Cash used for financing activities in the six months ended June 30, 2011 consisted primarily of cash paid related to the acquisition of Xoft.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes, for the periods presented, our future estimated cash payments under existing contractual obligations (in thousands).

Contractual Obligations	Payments due by period				
	Less than 1				
	Total	year	1-3 years	3-5 years	5+years
Lease Obligations	\$ 2,555	\$ 660	\$ 945	\$ 876	\$ 74
Settlement Obligations	3,750	775	1,550	1,050	375
Notes Payable	20,825	1,363	6,421	13,041	—
Other Commitments	3,215	1,581	1,634		
Total Contractual Obligations	\$30,345	\$ 4,379	\$10,550	\$14,967	\$ 449

Settlement obligations represent the minimum payments attributable to the obligations related to Zeiss, Hologic and Yeda.

Other commitments represent firm purchase obligations to key suppliers for future product deliverables.

In addition to the contractual obligations related to the interest payments from the Notes, the Company is obligated under the revenue purchase agreement discussed in Note 3 of the accompanying financial statements, to pay 4.25% of revenues up to \$25 million, either 2.75% or 2.25% of annual revenues from \$25 million to \$50 million and 1.0% of annual revenues in excess of \$50 million. Included in the above amounts are the minimum annual payments under the revenue purchase agreement of \$125,000 per quarter payable in arrears. The Company is unable to estimate the variable contractual payments related to the Revenue Purchase Agreement, and accordingly only the minimum annual payments have been included.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We believe we are not subject to material foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, as substantially all of our sales and expenses are denominated in the U.S. dollar. We do not hold derivative securities and have not entered into contracts embedded with derivative instruments, such as foreign currency and interest rate swaps, options, forwards, futures, collars or warrants, either to hedge existing risks or for speculative purposes.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, as of June 30, 2012, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act")) were effective at the reasonable level of assurance.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. We conduct periodic evaluations to enhance, where necessary our procedures and controls.

Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer conducted an evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) to determine whether any changes in internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2012, that have materially affected or which are reasonably likely to materially affect internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there has been no such change during such period.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On February 18, 2011, in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00451816-CU-PL-CXC), named plaintiffs Jane Doe and John Doe filed a complaint against Xoft, the Company, and Hoag Memorial Hospital Presbyterian asserting causes of action for general negligence, breach of warranty, and strict liability and seeking unlimited damages in excess of \$25,000. On March 2, 2011, the Company received a Statement of Damages – specifying that the damages being sought aggregated an amount of at least approximately \$14.5 million. On April 6, 2011, plaintiffs Jane Doe and John Doe amended their complaint alleging only medical malpractice against Hoag Memorial Hospital Presbyterian. On April 8, 2011, another complaint was filed in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00465448-CU-MM-CXC) on behalf of four additional Jane Doe plaintiffs and two John Doe spouses with identical allegations against the same defendants. One John Doe spouse from this group of plaintiffs was later dismissed on August 18, 2011. On April 19, 2011, a sixth Jane Doe plaintiff filed an identical complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00468687-CU-MM-CXC), and on May 4, 2011, a seventh Jane Doe plaintiff and John Doe spouse filed another complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00473120-CU-PO-CXC), again with identical allegations against the same defendants. On July 12, 2011, an eighth Jane Doe plaintiff and John Doe spouse filed a complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00491068-CU-PL-CXC), and on July 14, 2011, a ninth Jane Doe plaintiff and John Doe spouse filed another complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-00491497-CU-PL-CXC), each with identical allegations as the previously filed complaints. On August 18, 2011, these two groups of Jane Doe plaintiffs and John Doe spouses amended their complaints to correct certain deficiencies. Additionally on August 18, 2011, a tenth Jane Doe plaintiff and two additional John Doe spouses filed a complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2011-501448-CU-PL-CXC), again with identical allegations against the same defendants. On January 18, 2012, three additional Jane Doe plaintiffs and one additional John Doe spouse filed a complaint in the Orange County Superior Court (Docket No. 30-2012-00538423-CU-PL-CXC) with identical allegations against the same defendants. On April 11, 2012, the above-referenced cases were consolidated for all purposes, excluding trial. On May 2, 2012, plaintiffs filed a master consolidated complaint, with the same case number as the original filed complaint. It is alleged that each Jane Doe plaintiff was a patient who was treated with the Axxent Electronic Brachytherapy System that incorporated the Axxent Flexishield Mini. The Company believes that all of the Jane Doe plaintiffs were part of the group of 29 patients treated using the Axxent Flexishield Mini as part of a clinical trial. The Axxent Flexishield Mini is the subject of a voluntary recall. Because of the preliminary nature of this complaint, the Company is unable to evaluate the merits of the claims; however, based upon our preliminary analysis, we plan to vigorously defend the lawsuits. Accordingly, since the amount of the potential damages in the event of an adverse result is not reasonably estimable, no expense has been recorded with respect to the contingent liability associated with this matter.

On September 28, 2011, Yeda commenced an action against the Company in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Yeda alleges that iCAD is utilizing certain patents owned by Yeda without a license agreement. Yeda seeks declaratory judgment from the court that it is the owner of the patents and seeks monetary relief from iCAD for alleged patent infringement. On June 15, 2012, the Company settled the alleged patent infringement, and was granted a non-exclusive license to use the patents in exchange for an annual payment equivalent to a percentage of the licensed products with a minimum annual royalty of \$25,000 per year. The Company also paid a one-time fee at the execution of the agreement and payment of a percentage of sales of the licensed products thru May 2012, of which prior period amounts had been previously accrued.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our risk factors are described in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2011. There have been no material changes in the risks affecting iCAD since the filing of our Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table represents information with respect to purchases of common stock made by the Company during the three months ended June 30, 2012:

Month of purchase	Total number of shares purchased (1)	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
			or programs	programs
April 1—April 30, 2012	7,287	\$ 0.50	\$ —	\$ —
May 1—May 31, 2012		_	—	—
June 1—June 30, 2012				
Total	7,287	\$ 0.50	\$	\$

(1) Represents shares of common stock surrendered by employees to the Company to pay employee withholding taxes due upon the vesting of restricted stock.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101	The following materials formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language); (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2010, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**.

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

iCAD, Inc.	
(Registrant)	
By: /s/ Kenneth M. Ferry	

Kenneth M. Ferry President, Chief Executive Officer, Director

By: /s/ Kevin C. Burns

Kevin C. Burns Executive Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer

42

Date: August 8, 2012

Date: August 8, 2012

EXHIBIT 31.1 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I, Kenneth M. Ferry, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012 of iCAD, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and;

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 8, 2012

/s/ Kenneth M. Ferry

Kenneth M. Ferry Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31.2 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

I, Kevin C. Burns, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012 of iCAD, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and;

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 8, 2012

/s/ Kevin C. Burns

Kevin Burns Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 32.1 CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of iCAD, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012 (the "Report"), I, Kenneth M. Ferry, as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Kenneth M. Ferry .

Kenneth M. Ferry Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 8, 2012

EXHIBIT 32.2

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of iCAD, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012 (the "Report"), I, Kevin C. Burns, as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

<u>/s/ Kevin C. Burns</u> Kevin C. Burns Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 8, 2012